

MID 01-0140" 00001298

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26



MD 01-1407 #1298

FILED _____ENTERED _____RECEIVED

DEC 2 3 2002

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY



DEC 16 2002

PM.

AT SEATTLE

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

DERUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

IN RE Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products)
Liability Litigation)

No MDL 1407

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER #/2.
REGARDING EXPERT DEPOSITION
DISCOVERY

This document relates to all actions

As previously ordered, expert discovery concerning "generic" or general causation and liability issues of widespread applicability will take place within this MDL proceeding. The following procedures shall apply to this expert discovery

I

EXPERT DISCLOSURES

Expert disclosures shall be made by the parties on the dates previously ordered by the Court Each party's expert disclosures shall be made in accordance with Fed R Civ P, Rule 26

Π

EXPERT DEPOSITIONS

All expert depositions shall be conducted pursuant to applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, and as further specified below

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER REGARDING EXPERT DEPOSITION DISCOVERY - 1

Case No MDL 1407

ORIGINAL

LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP SUITE 4100 1420 FIFTH AVENUL SEATTLE WA 98101 (206) 223-7000

1298

A Deposition Notices

In addition to the information required by the applicable Rules, each deposition notice shall include the name, if known, of the primary examiner(s) designated by the party noticing the deposition, and the date, time and place of the deposition. In order for noticing counsel to make arrangements for adequate deposition space, counsel who intend to attend the deposition of an expert noticed in MDL 1407 should provide notice to the individual counsel signing the Notice of Deposition. Deposition notices shall state whether the deposition is to be videotaped and, if so, the name, firm and address of the videotape recorders. Service of the deposition notice of any expert shall be made upon the counsel for the party or parties proffering the expert, not the expert witness personally

B Cross-Notices in State Court Cases and These Proceedings

To avoid duplicative expert discovery and to prevent the unnecessary expenditure of judicial and party resources, the parties may cross-notice the deposition of an expert noticed in MDL 1407 in any state court proceedings where the expert has been designated as an expert. Similarly, the parties may cross-notice the deposition of any expert designated in a state court proceeding in this MDL where the same expert has been designated in both proceedings. Any motion to quash or stay any such cross-notice filed in the MDL must be filed greater than ten (10) days prior to the scheduled date of the cross-noticed deposition. The filing of any such motion will not delay the cross-noticed deposition, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. If a deposition is cross-noticed, counsel designated in the original notice of deposition shall conduct the initial phase of the deposition. Nothing in this provision shall be construed as an injunctive or equitable order affecting state court proceedings. Rather, this provision is intended to reflect this Court's desire for voluntary state-federal coordination.

C Scheduling

Counsel proffering any experts shall provide to opposing Liaison Counsel the name of an attorney to act as a contact person for each expert regarding the scheduling of depositions and other matters specific to that expert in the MDL. Depositions of expert witnesses may commence after disclosures are made and, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, shall be completed within the time frame ordered by the Court. To the extent practicable, counsel shall consult with opposing counsel in an effort to schedule depositions at times convenient to the expert witness and the parties. The Court will resolve any deposition scheduling issues that Lead Counsel or their designees are unable to resolve.

D Length of Examination in Expert Depositions

The deposition of an expert in the MDL shall not exceed a total of seven (7) hours of actual examination time by parties against whose interests the opinion(s) of the expert may be offered absent agreement or further order of the Court upon a showing of good cause. The Court expects that if a deposition requires additional time, the parties will make a good faith effort to agree on an extension before coming to the Court for resolution. Additional time beyond the time provided to MDL counsel shall be provided for questioning on case-specific issues where the identified expert has named as an expert in a pending state action at a time and place to be determined by agreement of the parties to the state action or by order of the applicable state court.

E <u>Location of Depositions</u>

Unless otherwise agreed to, all depositions of experts shall take place within the federal district in which that expert resides or works

F Further Depositions

No further deposition of an expert designated on issues of widespread applicability in this MDL proceeding shall be permitted except as set forth in this paragraph

26

Any party to these MDL proceedings who did not have reasonable notice of an 1 expert deposition and who was not present or whose position was not adequately represented at the expert deposition (including parties later added and parties in cases subsequently filed in, removed to, or transferred to this Court) may, within thirty (30) days after filing of the deposition (or, if later, within sixty (60) days after becoming a party in any action which docketed in this Court), file a motion to conduct a further deposition of the deponent upon a showing of good cause Within ten (10) days of the filing of any such motion, any party may file an opposition to the motion and seek a protective order prohibiting the further deposition on the grounds that the completed deposition fully covered the area or areas sought to be explored in the further deposition or that the testimony sought is not relevant. If a further deposition is permitted by the Court or unopposed, it shall be treated as the resumption of the deposition originally noticed During the resumed deposition, the prohibitions regarding redundant or repetitive examination contained in CMO No 1 are fully applicable. The resumed deposition shall be taken at the same location as the initial deposition unless otherwise agreed to by the parties and the deponent

- The party proffering an expert will be permitted to conduct a preservation deposition of the expert either by agreement of the parties or by a showing that the following conditions have been met
 - (a) that the trial or hearing for which the testimony is being preserved has actually been scheduled,
 - (b) that the expert whose testimony is being preserved has been designated as a testifying expert in the case, and
 - (c) that the expert whose testimony is being preserved has indicated that he may not appear to testify in person at trial or at hearing

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, notice of any preservation deposition shall be served on Plaintiffs' and Defendants' Liaison Counsel more than 14 days before the deposition date,

and any objection thereto shall be filed within seven days of the deposition date. The opposing party may in its objections raise any objection to the taking or the timing of the preservation deposition. At any preservation deposition noticed pursuant to this provision, reasonable time shall be provided to the opposing party to conduct cross-examination of the expert witness. The parties will cooperate in seeking an immediate court resolution to any dispute regarding the taking or timing of a preservation deposition.

G Other Matters

The parties shall otherwise continue to abide by the remaining provisions in CMO No 1 pertaining to depositions of witnesses that are not inconsistent with this Case Management Order Each party shall bear the expenses, fees or other charges of its own expert incurred in connection with the expert's deposition

III

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

As previously agreed to by the parties, each expert witness noticed to be deposed shall produce to the party noticing the deposition all materials described in Attachment A, as that Attachment was interpreted by the Court during the course of the October 31, 2002 status conference ("Responsive Documents"), except that the expert need only produce a listing of those materials that are publicly available or have already been produced during the course of these proceedings. If a document would otherwise be a Responsive Document, it shall be produced whether the document is currently in the possession of the expert or of any attorney for the party proffering the expert. Provided, however, nothing in this provision shall require the production of documents in the sole possession of the attorneys that have not been provided to, shown to, prepared by or otherwise considered by the expert witness. The Responsive Documents or copies thereof and any listing shall be produced on or before seven (7) days prior to the date the expert's deposition is to commence, and the party proffering the expert shall cooperate in providing copies of any document listed which is not reasonably

1	obtainable by the party noticing the deposition. Any outstanding subpoena duces tecum
2	served on any expert prior to the date hereof is hereby deemed withdrawn. This provision
3	shall not apply to depositions taken pursuant to paragraph II(F)(2) above
4	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of December, 2002
5	LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP
6	,
7	By D. Joseph Hurson
8	WSBA No
9	Co-Liaison Counsel for the PPA Manufacturer-Defendants
10	
11	Of Counsel
12	KAYE SCHOLER LLP
13	Randolph S Sherman Lori Leskin
14	425 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022
15	Telephone 212-836-8000 Facsimile 212-836-8689
16	Counsel for Novartis Consumer Health, Inc ,
17	
18	DATED at Seattle, Washington this 20th day of December, 2002.
19	
20	Barbara Rollinton
21	BARBARA JACOBS ROTHSTEIN
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	

Exhibit A

The term "documents" is used in its broadest sense, and includes, without limitation, written material of whatever kind or nature, whether typed, printed, handwritten or otherwise produced including, without limitation, letters, memoranda, notes, contracts, bills, invoices, spreadsheets, photographs, calendars, photostats, photocopies, data, audio or video recordings, and electronic data compilations, including computerized files, computer disks, hard drives, database records, "voice mail" or "phone mail" recordings, and "electronic mail" or "e-mail" messages, however stored, as well as drafts, revisions, and redline versions or iterations, whether paper or electronic. Spreadsheets and any other documents that contain formulas and/or calculations are to be produced in readable electronic format and in such a way that the formulas and/or calculations may be reviewed.

Further, the "documents" requested herein extend to and include any and all such materials within the possession, custody, or control of the experts' agents, attorneys, or representatives, regardless of where located. Experts are to produce the materials in their possession, custody, or control listed below:

- 1. Current curriculum vitae.
- 2. All documents and materials, published or unpublished, on which the experts intend to rely as a basis, in whole or in part, for the opinions they intend to express in this litigation
- All materials and documents obtained, received, reviewed, considered, or consulted by the experts in connection with their testimony in this litigation, whether they found the matter contained in these documents or materials to be helpful or not. The documents and materials requested include, but are not limited to, all records, data, depositions, statements, transcripts, medicals, articles, books, and correspondence
- 4. All documents, including but not limited to, notes, data, spreadsheets, reports, draft reports, interim iterations of reports, records, and computer disks, prepared or otherwise recorded by the experts, or at their direction, concerning or relating to their testimony in this litigation. The documents requested include, but are not limited to, all such documents relating to their review of documents, and those relating to the formulation of their opinions in this litigation.

- All articles or papers the experts have written, presented, or have participated in writing or presenting, that relate to or concern the subject matter of their testimony in this litigation.
- 6. All documents concerning any research that the experts have undertaken that relates to or concerns the subject matter of their testimony in this litigation, whether they found the research to be helpful or not.
- All documents, data, or other materials supplied to the experts by the plaintiffs or their attorneys in this litigation.
- 8 All correspondence or other documents reflecting communications with anyone regarding the experts' testimony in this litigation or its subject matter, including but not limited to, e-mail correspondence.
- 9. All writings, notes, or other tangible evidence concerning conversations that the experts have had with anyone concerning or relating to their testimony in this litigation.
- 10. All photographs which the experts have reviewed concerning or relating to their testimony in this litigation.
- 11. Copies of all affidavits, reports, and sworn testimony given by the experts, whether at deposition or trial or otherwise, that relate to or concern the subject matter of their testimony in this litigation.
- All reports, draft reports, iterations of reports, inserts to reports, red-lined versions of reports, and/or modifications or supplements to reports prepared by or provided to the expert.

THE HONORABLE BARBARA J ROTHSTEIN 1 2 ENTERED FILED _ 3 RECEIVED 1 ODGED_____ 4 DEC 16 2002 PM AT SEAFILE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 IN RE Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation 11 No. MDL 1407 12 This document relates to all actions CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 13 I, Barbara LaBelle, hereby certify and declare under penalty of perjury as follows: 14 15 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of King County, Washington I 16 am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within cause My business mailing 17 address is 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4100, Seattle, WA 98101 On December 16, 2002, I caused to be delivered a copy of 18 19 1 Letter to Honorable Barbara Jacobs Rothstein; 20 2 Case Management Order Regarding Expert Deposition Discovery, and 21 3 Certificate of Service 22 upon the following 23 24 25 26



1	Via Hand Delivery
2	Honorable Barbara Jacobs Rothstein U.S. District Court Western District of Washington
3	1010 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
5	Lance Palmer Levinson Friedman 720 3 rd Ave, Suite 1800
6	720 3 rd Ave, Suite 1800 Seattle, WA 98104
7 8	Via Electronic Mail Arthur Sherman
9	Sherman Salkow Petoyan & Weber
10	Richard S. Lewis Cohen Milstein Hausfeld & Toll
11	
12	All Defense Counsel via Electronic Mail
13	Signed and dated this 16th day of December 2002, in Seattle, Washington
14	In spara to Balle
14 15	Darbara LaBelle Barbara LaBelle
	Barbara LaBelle
15	Dankon La Belle Barbara LaBelle
15 16	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17 18	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17 18 19	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17 18 19 20	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barbara LaBelle
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Barbara LaBelle

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE - 2

LANE POWELL SPEARS LUBERSKY LLP SUITE 4100 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101 (206) 223-7000



Phenylpr	opanolamıne,
----------	--------------

Plaintiff,

vs.

Defendant.

Case No. 2 01-md-01407

Document number 1298 was scanned and saved on the court's file server

By: Deputy Clerk

December 23, 2002 DATE: